

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN TEMPERATE DISTRIBUTIONS AND ANALYTICAL FUNCTIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF WAVE-FRONT SETS

KAROLINE JOHANSSON

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant Banach function space (BF-space). In this paper we prove that every temperate distribution f can be associated with a function F analytic in the convex tube $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^d; |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$ such that the wave-front set of f of Fourier BF-space types in intersection with $\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$ consists of the points (x, ξ) such that F does not belong to the Fourier BF-space at $x - i\xi$.

0. INTRODUCTION

Wave-front sets of Fourier Banach function types were introduced by Coriasco, Johansson and Toft in [1]. Roughly speaking, the wave-front set of Fourier Banach function type, $\operatorname{WF}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$, of a distribution f , consists of all pairs (x_0, ξ_0) such that no localization of the distribution f at x_0 belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ in the direction ξ_0 . Several properties of classical wave-front sets (with respect to smoothness) can be found in Hörmander [12]. One of these are mapping properties for pseudo-differential operators (with smooth symbols) on wave-front sets which were generalized to Fourier Lebesgue type by Pilipovic, Teofanov and Toft in [14]. These properties were also proved to hold for wave-front sets of Fourier Banach function types. (Cf. Coriasco, Johansson and Toft [1].)

In this paper we consider another property of wave-front sets concerning association between a temperate distribution and an analytic function, which was proved for classical wave-front sets by Hörmander in [12]. More precisely, Hörmander showed that every temperate distribution f can be associated with a function F analytic in the convex tube $\{z \in \mathbf{C}^d; |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$ such that

$$f = \int_{|\xi|=1} F(\cdot + i\xi) d\xi, \quad (0.1)$$

Date: March 5, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A18.

Key words and phrases. Wave-front, Fourier, Banach function space.

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_L(f) \\ = \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } C^L \text{ at } x - i\xi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{WF}_L(f)$ is the wave-front set with respect to a class of smooth functions C^L . (Cf. Section 8.4 in Hörmander [12].)

In this paper we generalize this result to wave-front sets of Fourier Banach function types. We show that for every temperate distribution f there exists a function F with the properties given before, satisfying (0.1) and such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \\ = \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \mathcal{FB} \text{ at } x - i\xi\}. \quad (0.2) \end{aligned}$$

Since every Lebesgue space is a Banach function space we get by choosing $\mathcal{B} = L^p$ that the analogous result for wave-front sets of Fourier Lebesgue types is contained in (0.2) as a special case.

As shown later on in this paper, analogous results hold also for the weighted cases as well as inf types and modulation space types of wave-front sets. The latter is a direct consequence of the identification of wave-front sets of Fourier BF-spaces types with wave-front sets of modulation space types.

The modulation spaces were introduced by Feichtinger in [2], and the theory was developed in [4–6, 9]. The modulation space $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$, where ω is an appropriate weight function (or time-frequency shift) on phase space $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, appears as the set of temperate (ultra-)distributions whose short-time Fourier transform belong to the weighted Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$. This family of modulation spaces contains the (classical) modulation spaces $M_{(\omega)}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ as well as the space $W_{(\omega)}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ related to the Wiener amalgam spaces. In fact, these spaces which occur frequently in the time-frequency community are obtained by choosing $\mathcal{B} = L_1^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ or $\mathcal{B} = L_2^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ (see Remark 6.1 in [1]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall the definitions and some basic properties for translation invariant Banach function spaces (BF-spaces) and Fourier Banach function spaces. In Section 2 we prove that every temperate distribution f can be associated with a function F analytic in a convex tube satisfying (0.1) and (0.2). Analogous results are given in Sections 3–5 for the weighted case, inf types and modulation space types, respectively. We use this result in Section 6 to show some further properties of these wave-front sets. In particular we show a result about the relation between wave-front sets of Fourier Banach function types and analytic wave-front sets.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some notations and basic results. The proofs are in general omitted. In what follows we let Γ denote an open cone in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$. If $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ is fixed, then an open cone which contains ξ is sometimes denoted by Γ_ξ .

Assume that $\omega, v \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are positive functions. Then ω is called v -moderate if

$$\omega(x+y) \leq C\omega(x)v(y) \quad (1.1)$$

for some constant C which is independent of $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$. If v in (1.1) can be chosen as a polynomial, then ω is called polynomially moderate. We let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all polynomially moderated functions on $\mathbf{R}^d$. We say that v is *submultiplicative* when (1.1) holds with $\omega = v$. Throughout we assume that the submultiplicative weights are even. If $\omega(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is constant with respect to the x -variable (ξ -variable), then we sometimes write $\omega(\xi)$ ($\omega(x)$) instead of $\omega(x, \xi)$. In this case we consider ω as an element in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ or in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ depending on the situation.

For any weight ω in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we let $L_{(\omega)}^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $f \cdot \omega \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ is the linear and continuous mapping on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which takes the form

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} dx$$

when $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We recall that $\mathcal{F}$ is a homeomorphism on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which restricts to a homeomorphism on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Next we recall the definition of Banach function spaces.

Definition 1.1. Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach space of complex-valued measurable functions on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and that $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is submultiplicative. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is called a *(translation) invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$* (with respect to v), if there is a constant C such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (continuous embeddings);
- (2) if $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}$, then $f(\cdot - x) \in \mathcal{B}$, and

$$\|f(\cdot - x)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq Cv(x)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}};$$

- (3) if $f, g \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ satisfy $g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $|f| \leq |g|$ almost everywhere, then $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space. If $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $h \in L^\infty$, then it follows from (3) in Definition 1.1 that $f \cdot h \in \mathcal{B}$ and

$$\|f \cdot h\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|h\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Remark 1.2. Assume that $\omega_0, v, v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are such v and v_0 are submultiplicative, ω_0 is v_0 -moderate, and assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation-invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ with respect to v . Also let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be the Banach space which consists of all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0} \equiv \|f \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finite. Then $\mathcal{B}_0$ is a translation invariant BF-space with respect to $v_0 v$.

For future references we note that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space with respect to the submultiplicative weight v on $\mathbf{R}^d$, then the convolution map $*$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends to a continuous mapping from $\mathcal{B} \times L^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{B}$, and for some constant C it holds

$$\|\varphi * f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^1_{(v)}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (1.2)$$

when $\varphi \in L^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}$. In fact, if $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f * g \in \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ in view of the definitions, and Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_{\mathcal{B}} &= \left\| \int f(\cdot - y) g(y) dy \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq \int \|f(\cdot - y)\|_{\mathcal{B}} |g(y)| dy \leq C \int \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} |g(y) v(y)| dy = C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|g\|_{L^1_{(v)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is dense in $L^1_{(v)}$, it follows that $\varphi * f \in \mathcal{B}$ when $\varphi \in L^1_{(v)}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$, and that (1.2) holds in this case. The result is now a consequence of Hahn-Banach's theorem.

From now on we assume that each translation invariant BF-space $\mathcal{B}$ is such that the convolution map $*$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is *uniquely* extendable to a continuous mapping from $\mathcal{B} \times L^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{B}$, and that (1.2) holds when $\varphi \in L^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}$. We note that $\mathcal{B}$ can be any mixed and weighted Lebesgue space.

In particular we then have that

$$\left\| \int_{|y|=1} g(\cdot - y) dy \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \int_{|y|=1} \|g(\cdot - y)\|_{\mathcal{B}} dy.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then we let $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\xi \mapsto \widehat{f}(\xi) \omega(\xi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$. It follows that $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)} \equiv \|\widehat{f} \omega\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Recall that a topological vector space $V \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(X)$ is called *local* if $V \subseteq V_{loc}$. Here $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ is open, and V_{loc} consists of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ such that $\varphi f \in V$ for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$. For future references we note that

if $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$, then it follows from (1.2) that $\mathcal{FB}$ is a local space, i. e.

$$\mathcal{FB} \subseteq \mathcal{FB}_{loc} \equiv (\mathcal{FB})_{loc}.$$

Let

$$I(\xi) = \int_{|\omega|=1} e^{-\langle \omega, \xi \rangle} d\omega \quad \text{and} \quad K(z) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i\langle z, \xi \rangle} / I(\xi) d\xi. \quad (1.3)$$

These functions will play an important role when proving the main results. We therefore explicitly give properties of these functions. These results can be found in Section 8.4 in Hörmander [12].

Let I be given by (1.3) then we have that $I(\xi) = 2 \cosh \xi$ for $d = 1$ and $I(\xi) = I_0(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2})$ for $d > 1$. Here

$$I_0(\rho) = c_{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{(d-3)/2} e^{t\rho} dt, \quad (1.4)$$

where c_{d-1} is the area of S^{d-2} . Then I_0 is an even analytic function in $\mathbf{C}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$I_0(\rho) = (2\pi)^{(d-1)/2} e^\rho \rho^{-(d-1)/2} (1 + O(1/\rho))$$

if $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, $|\arg \rho| < \pi/2 - \varepsilon$. Furthermore there is a constant C such that for all $\rho \in \mathbf{C}$ we have that

$$|I_0(\rho)| \leq C(1 + |\rho|)^{-(d-1)/2} e^{|\operatorname{Re} \rho|}.$$

The following lemma can be found with proof in [12].

Lemma 1.3. *$K(z)$ is an analytic function in the connected open set*

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^d; \langle z, z \rangle \notin (-\infty, -1]\} \supset \Omega.$$

Here $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$. Furthermore, for any closed open cone $\Gamma \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ such that $\langle z, z \rangle$ is never ≤ 0 when $z \in \Gamma \setminus 0$ there is some $c > 0$ such that $K(z) = O(e^{-c|z|})$ when $z \rightarrow \infty$ in Γ . We have for real x and y that

$$|K(x + iy)| \leq K(iy) = (d-1)!(2\pi)^{-d} (1 - |y|)^{-d} (1 + O(1 - |y|)), \quad (1.5)$$

$|y| \rightarrow 1^-$.

Furthermore

$$|D^\beta K(x + iy)| \leq C_\beta (1 - |y|)^{-n-|\beta|} e^{-c|x|}, \quad |y| < 1$$

holds by Cauchy's inequalities. (Cf. [12].)

2. ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH TEMPERATE DISTRIBUTIONS

In this section we show that (0.2) holds. Assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We recall that the wave-front sets of weighted Fourier Banach function types $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ consists of all pairs $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that

$$|\varphi f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma_{\xi_0})} \equiv \|\mathcal{F}(\varphi f) \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}} \omega\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \infty,$$

for every open conical neighbourhood Γ_{ξ_0} of ξ_0 , and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi = 1$ in some open neighbourhood X of x_0 . Here $\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}$ is the characteristic function of Γ_{ξ_0} . (Cf. Coriasco, Johansson and Toft [1].)

Let $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$ if $\omega \equiv 1$.

Definition 2.1. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ at x_0 if and only if there exists $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of x_0 such that $\varphi f \in \mathcal{FB}(\omega)$.

Remark 2.2. For convenience we say that $f \in \mathcal{FB}$ at x_0 if the statement in Definition 2.1 is true for $\omega \equiv 1$.

We note that if f belongs to $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ at x_0 then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ for any $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$.

Definition 2.3. For $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ the singular support $\text{sing supp}_{\mathcal{B}(\omega)} f$ is the smallest closed subset of X such that f is in $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ in the complement.

We use the notation $\text{sing supp}_{\mathcal{B}(\omega)} f = \text{sing supp}_{\mathcal{B}} f$ when $\omega \equiv 1$.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The projection of $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ in X is equal to $\text{sing supp}_{\mathcal{B}(\omega)} f$.

Proof. (a) Assume that $x_0 \notin \text{sing supp}_{\mathcal{B}(\omega)}(f)$. Then f belongs to $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ at x_0 . This implies that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$, for any $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$.

(b) Assume that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ for all $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$. Then by the compactness of unit sphere we can choose a neighbourhood K of x_0 such that $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) \cap (K \times \mathbf{R}^d) = \emptyset$. This implies that we can choose a function $\varphi_{x_0} \in C_0^\infty$ which is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood X of x_0 such that $\varphi_{x_0} f \in \mathcal{FB}(\omega)$. Hence $x_0 \notin \text{sing supp}_{\mathcal{B}(\omega)}(f)$. $\square$

The next theorem is given without proof since the result follows directly from Theorem 8.4.8 in Hörmander [12] together with the observation that $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq \text{WF}(f)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ be open, Γ an open convex cone in $\mathbf{R}^d$ and let

$$Z = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^d; \text{Re } z \in X, \text{Im } z \in \Gamma, |\text{Im } z| < \gamma\},$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. Also let F be an analytic function in Z such that

$$|F(z)| \leq C |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-N}, \quad z \in Z.$$

Then $F(\cdot + iy)$ has the limit $F_0 \in \mathcal{D}'^{N+1}(X)$ as $y \in \Gamma$ tends to zero and $\operatorname{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(F_0) \subset X \times (\Gamma^\circ \setminus 0)$, where Γ° is the dual cone of Γ . Furthermore $F = 0$ if $F_0 = 0$.

Next we associate the temperate distribution f with a function F analytic in the convex cone $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^d; |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$ such that

$$f = \int_{|\xi|=1} F(\cdot + i\xi) d\xi.$$

We recall the following result from Hörmander [12, Theorem 8.4.11].

Theorem 2.6. *Let K be given by (1.3). If $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $F = K * f$, then F is analytic in $\Omega = \{z; |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$ and for some C, a, b*

$$|F(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^a (1 - |\operatorname{Im} z|)^{-b}, \quad z \in \Omega. \quad (2.1)$$

The boundary values $F(\cdot + i\xi)$ are continuous functions of $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ with values in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int \langle F(\cdot + i\xi), \phi \rangle d\xi, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (2.2)$$

Conversely, if F satisfies (2.1), then (2.2) defines a distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $F = K * f$.

Next we give the main theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume that f and F satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.6. Then we have that*

$$(\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \operatorname{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) = \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \mathcal{FB} \text{ at } x - i\xi\}.$$

We remark that F is in $\mathcal{FB}$ at $x - i\xi$ if for some neighbourhood V of (x, ξ) there exists some localization $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi = 1$ in V such that $\varphi f \in \mathcal{FB}$. Before the proof we note that C^L is a subset of $\mathcal{FB}$.

Proof. First assume that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \operatorname{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$ and $|\xi_0| = 1$. Then we want to show that $F = K * f \in \mathcal{FB}$ at $x_0 - i\xi_0$. By the hypothesis there exist $r > 0$ and $\varphi_{x_0} \in C_0^\infty$ such that $\varphi_{x_0}(x) = 1$ if $|x - x_0| < r$ and an open conical neighbourhood Γ_{ξ_0} of ξ_0 such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f) \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty.$$

We also recall that since $\varphi_{x_0} f$ has compact supports it holds

$$|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f)(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^M, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

for some fixed constants $C, M \geq 0$. Set $f = \varphi_{x_0} f + v$ where $v = f(1 - \varphi_{x_0})$. Then $F = K * f = K * (\varphi_{x_0} f) + K * v$ and

$$K * v(z) = \langle K(z - \cdot), v \rangle.$$

Now $K(x + iy - t)$ is well-defined when $|y|^2 < 1 + |x - t|^2$, so it is well-defined and rapidly decreasing with all derivatives when $|t - x_0| \geq r$ if

$$|y|^2 < 1 + (r - |x - x_0|)^2, \quad |x - x_0| < r. \quad (2.3)$$

(Cf. Lemma 8.4.10 and Theorem 8.4.11 in [12].) It follows that $K * v$ is analytic and bounded in compact subsets of the set defined by (2.3), which is a neighbourhood of $x_0 - i\xi_0$. Then it follows that $K * v$ belongs to $\mathcal{FB}$ at $x_0 - i\xi_0$.

Next we consider $K * (\varphi_{x_0} f)$. It is left to prove that $K * (\varphi_{x_0} f)$ belongs to $\mathcal{FB}$ at $x_0 - i\xi_0$. The Fourier transform of $K * (\varphi_{x_0} f)(\cdot + iy)$ is $e^{-\langle y, \xi \rangle} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f)/I(\xi)$. By (8.4.12) in Hörmander [12] it follows that

$$\frac{1}{|I(\xi)|} \leq C e^{-|\xi|} (1 + |\xi|)^{(d-1)/2}.$$

Using this we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K * (\varphi_{x_0} f)\|_{\mathcal{FB}} &= \|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f)/I(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C_1 \|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle - |\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{(d-1)/2} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C_2 (\|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle - |\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{(d-1)/2} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f) \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \\ &\quad \|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle - |\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{(d-1)/2} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f) (1 - \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}})\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For the first part in the right-hand side of (2.4) we recognize that for every y such that $|y| < 1 \sup_{\xi} e^{-\langle y, \xi \rangle - |\xi|} (1 + |\xi|)^{(d-1)/2} < \infty$ and therefore

$$\|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle - |\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{(d-1)/2} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f) \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty.$$

Then for the second part we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle - |\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{(d-1)/2} \mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0} f) (1 - \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}})\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C \|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle - |\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{M+(d-1)/2} (1 - \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}})\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle < (1 - 2\varepsilon)|\xi|$ when $\xi \notin \Gamma_{\xi_0}$. Then

$$\langle y, \xi \rangle + |\xi| > \varepsilon |\xi|$$

if $\xi \notin \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ and $|y + \xi_0| < \varepsilon$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{-\langle y, \cdot \rangle - |\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{M+(d-1)/2} (1 - \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}})\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C \|e^{-\varepsilon|\cdot|} (1 + |\cdot|)^{M+(d-1)/2}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

This completes the first part of the proof. $\square$

For the second part of the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $d\mu$ be a measure on S^{d-1} and Γ an open convex cone such that*

$$\langle y, \xi \rangle < 0 \text{ when } 0 \neq y \in \overline{\Gamma}, \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu.$$

If F is analytic in Ω and satisfies (2.1), then

$$F_1(z) = \int F(z + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

is analytic and $|F_1(z)| \leq C'(1 + |\text{Re } z|)^a |\text{Im } z|^{-b}$ when $\text{Im } z \in \Gamma$ and $|\text{Im } z|$ is small enough.

For every measure $d\mu$ on S^{d-1} we have

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(F_\mu) \subset \{(x, \zeta); -\zeta/|\zeta| \in \text{supp } d\mu \text{ and } F \notin \mathcal{FB} \text{ at } x - i\zeta/|\zeta|\} \quad (2.5)$$

Here $F_\mu = \int F(\cdot + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$.

Proof. The first statement was proved by Hörmander in [12, Theorem 8.4.12]. Let Γ° be the dual cone of Γ . By Theorem 2.5 it follows that

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(F_\mu) \subset \mathbf{R}^d \times \Gamma^\circ.$$

Assume that

$$x_0 \in \{x; F \in \mathcal{FB} \text{ at } x + i\xi \text{ for every } \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu\}.$$

Then we have that for every $\xi_0 \in \text{supp } d\mu$ there exists an open neighbourhood U_{ξ_0} of (x_0, ξ_0) and a function $\varphi_{\xi_0} \in C_0^\infty$ with $\text{supp } \varphi_{\xi_0} \subseteq U_{\xi_0}$ such that $x \mapsto \varphi_{\xi_0} F \in \mathcal{FB}$. Since the set

$$\{x_0 + i\xi; \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu\}$$

is compact, it follows from arguments about compactness that there exist finitely many points ξ_j such that

$$\{x_0 + i\xi; \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu\} \subseteq \bigcup U_{\xi_j}.$$

For every ξ_j we choose an open neighbourhood X_{ξ_j} of x_0 and let $X = \bigcap X_{\xi_j}$. Then we can choose $\varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty$ equal to one in the neighbourhood X of x_0 such that $x \mapsto \varphi_0 F \in \mathcal{FB}$. Furthermore, we have that there exists $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, with support in a neighbourhood of x_0 , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi F_\mu\|_{\mathcal{FB}} &= \left\| \int \varphi F(\cdot + i\xi) d\mu(\xi) \right\|_{\mathcal{FB}} \\ &\leq \int \|\varphi F(\cdot + i\xi)\|_{\mathcal{FB}} d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\varphi F_\mu \in \mathcal{FB}$ at x_0 .

From the arguments above it follows that

$$\text{sing supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(F_\mu) \subset \{x; F \text{ is not in } \mathcal{FB} \text{ at } x + i\xi \text{ for some } \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu\}.$$

Then we may write $d\mu = \sum d\mu_j$ where $\text{supp } d\mu_j$ is contained in the intersection of $\text{supp } d\mu$ and a narrow open convex cone V_j . Applying

the result just proved with $d\mu$ replaced by $d\mu_j$ and Γ replaced by the interior of the dual cone $-V_j^\circ$ we obtain

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(F_\mu) \subset \bigcup \{(x, \zeta); -\zeta/|\zeta| \in \overline{V}_j, F \notin \mathcal{FB} \text{ at } x+i\xi \text{ for some } \xi \in V_j\}.$$

If $-\zeta/|\zeta| \notin \text{supp } d\mu$ or $F \in \mathcal{FB}$ at $x-i\zeta/|\zeta|$ we can choose the covering so that $-\zeta/|\zeta| \notin \overline{V}_j$ for every j or for all $j \neq 1$ while $F \in \mathcal{FB}$ at $x+i\xi$ for every $\xi \in V_1$. In both cases it follows that $(x, \xi) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(F_\mu)$ which proves (2.5). This completes the proofs of Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.7. $\square$

The following Corollary is an analogue to Corollary 8.4.13 in Hörmander [12].

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ be closed cones in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_j = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0.$$

For every $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ there exists a decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j$, where $f_j \in \mathcal{S}'$ and

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f_j) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times \Gamma_j). \quad (2.6)$$

If there exists another decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f'_j$ which also satisfies the conditions above, then $f'_j = f_j + \sum_{k=1}^m f_{jk}$ where $f_{jk} \in \mathcal{S}'$, $f_{jk} = -f_{kj}$ and

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f_{jk}) \subset \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times (\Gamma_j \cap \Gamma_k)). \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Let ϕ_j be the characteristic function on $\Gamma_j \setminus (\Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_{j-1})$. Then since $\text{supp } \phi_j \cap \text{supp } \phi_k = \emptyset$ for every $j \neq k$ and

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_j \setminus (\Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_{j-1}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_j = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$$

it follows that $\sum \phi_j = 1$ in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$. Let $F = K * f$ and $F_j = K * (f'_j - f_j)$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m F_j = \sum_{j=1}^m (K * (f'_j - f_j)) = K * \left(\sum_{j=1}^m f'_j - \sum_{j=1}^m f_j \right) = 0.$$

Let

$$f_j = \int F(\cdot - i\xi) \phi_j(\xi) d\xi$$

and

$$f_{jk} = \int F_j(\cdot - i\xi) \phi_k(\xi) d\xi - \int F_k(\cdot - i\xi) \phi_j(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.8)$$

Then it follows by straight-forward calculations that $f'_j = f_j + \sum_{k=1}^m f_{jk}$ and $f_{jk} = -f_{kj}$. More precisely we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^m f_{jk} &= \int F_j(\cdot - i\xi) \sum_{k=1}^m \phi_k(\xi) d\xi - \int \sum_{k=1}^m F_k(\cdot - i\xi) \phi_j(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int F_j(\cdot - i\xi) d\xi = f'_j - f_j. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 it follows that (2.6) holds using that ϕ_j has support in $\Gamma_j \cap S^{d-1}$ and letting $d\mu_j(\xi) = \phi_j(\xi) d\xi$. Use the measure defined above and treat the integrals on the right-hand side of (2.8) separately. By using the arguments above we see that the wave-front sets of these integrals are contained in

$$(\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f_j) \cup \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f'_j)) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times \Gamma_k)$$

and

$$(\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f_k) \cup \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f'_k)) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times \Gamma_j)$$

respectively. Now (2.7) follows immediately from this together with the fact that f_j and f'_j satisfies (2.6). $\square$

3. WAVE-FRONT SETS OF WEIGHTED FOURIER BF-TYPES

In this section we consider weighted Fourier BF-spaces and prove results analogous to the non-weighted case. We start by assuming that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}(\omega)$. By the following lemma we see that there is no restriction to assume that ω is v_0 -moderated for some $v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which is submultiplicative.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then there exists $v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that v_0 is submultiplicative and*

$$\omega(x) \leq C v_0(x),$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Proof. Assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then we can choose constants N and C large enough such that

$$\omega(x) \leq C w(0) \langle x \rangle^N.$$

Note that C and N do not depend on $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. From the fact that

$$\langle x + y \rangle \leq 2 \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle,$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ it follows that $\langle x \rangle^N$ is submultiplicative and polynomially moderated. $\square$

Assume that $\omega, v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and that v is submultiplicative. By the previous lemma we may choose $v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that v_0 is submultiplicative and ω is v_0 -moderate. Also assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ with respect to v and let $\mathcal{B}_1$ be the Banach space which consists of all $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \equiv \|f\omega\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finite. We recall from Remark 1.2 in [1] that $\mathcal{B}_1$ then is a translation invariant BF-space with respect to v_0v .

Next we state the main results in the weighted version. Since these results are obtained directly using the statement above together with the analogous results for the non-weighted case we give the following results without proofs.

Theorem 2.7'. *Assume that f and F satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.6. Also assume that $\omega, v, v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are such that v and v_0 are submultiplicative, ω is v_0 -moderate, and assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ with respect to v . Then we have that*

$$(\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) = \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \mathcal{FB}(\omega) \text{ at } x - i\xi\}.$$

Lemma 2.8'. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and ω, v and v_0 be defined as in the previous theorem. Also let $d\mu$ be a measure on S^{d-1} and Γ an open convex cone such that*

$$\langle y, \xi \rangle < 0 \text{ when } 0 \neq y \in \bar{\Gamma}, \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu.$$

If F is analytic in Ω and satisfies (2.1), then

$$F_1(z) = \int F(z + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

is analytic and $|F_1(z)| \leq C'(1 + |\text{Re } z|)^a |\text{Im } z|^{-b}$ when $\text{Im } z \in \Gamma$ and $|\text{Im } z|$ is small enough.

For every measure $d\mu$ on S^{d-1} we have

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(F_\mu) \subset \{(x, \zeta); -\zeta/|\zeta| \in \text{supp } d\mu \text{ and } F \notin \mathcal{FB}(\omega) \text{ at } x - i\zeta/|\zeta|\}$$
(3.1)

Here $F_\mu = \int F(\cdot + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$.

Corollary 2.9'. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and ω, v and v_0 be defined as in Theorem 2.7'. Also let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ be closed cones in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_j = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0.$$

For every $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ there exists a decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j$, where $f_j \in \mathcal{S}'$ and

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f_j) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times \Gamma_j). \quad (3.2)$$

If there exists another decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f'_j$ which also satisfies the conditions above, then $f'_j = f_j + \sum_{k=1}^m f_{jk}$ where $f_{jk} \in \mathcal{S}'$, $f_{jk} = -f_{kj}$ and

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f_{jk}) \subset \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times (\Gamma_j \cap \Gamma_k)). \quad (3.3)$$

4. WAVE-FRONT SETS OF INF TYPE

In this section we show analogous results for wave-front sets of inf types. We recall the definitions of these types of wave-front sets from Coriasco, Johansson and Toft [1]. Let $\mathcal{B}_j$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and $\omega_j \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, when j belongs to some index set J , and consider the array of spaces, given by

$$(\mathcal{B}_j) \equiv (\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j), \quad j \in J. \quad (4.1)$$

We recall that the wave-front sets of inf types $\text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f) = \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j))}^{\text{inf}}(f)$ consists of all pairs $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that for every open conical neighbourhood Γ_{ξ_0} of ξ_0 , every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi = 1$ in some open neighbourhood X of x_0 and for every $j \in J$ it holds that

$$|\varphi f|_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_j(\Gamma_{\xi_0})} \equiv \|\mathcal{F}(\varphi f)\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j)} = \infty.$$

Here $\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}$ is the characteristic function of Γ_{ξ_0} .

Before stating analogous results to those for wave-front sets of Fourier BF-spaces we compare the wave-front sets of Fourier BF-spaces with the wave-front sets of inf types defined above.

Since $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f)$ if and only if $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}_{\mathcal{B}_j}(f)$ for every $j \in J$, it follows that

$$\text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f) = \bigcap_j \text{WF}_{\mathcal{B}_j}(f) \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 2.7''. *Let $\mathcal{B}_j$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for every $j \in J$. Also let $\mathcal{B}_j$ be defined as in (4.1) and let f and F satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.6. Then we have that*

$$(\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f) = \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \bigcup_j \mathcal{B}_j \text{ at } x - i\xi\}.$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f) &= \bigcap_j ((\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{\mathcal{B}_j}(f)) \\ &= \bigcap_j \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \mathcal{B}_j \text{ at } x - i\xi\} \\ &= \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \bigcup_j \mathcal{B}_j \text{ at } x - i\xi\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The proof is complete $\square$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $d\mu$ be a measure on S^{d-1} and Γ an open convex cone such that*

$$\langle y, \xi \rangle < 0 \quad \text{when} \quad 0 \neq y \in \overline{\Gamma}, \quad \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu.$$

If F is analytic in Ω and satisfies (2.1), then

$$F_1(z) = \int F(z + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

is analytic and $|F_1(z)| \leq C'(1 + |\operatorname{Re} z|)^a |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-b}$ when $\operatorname{Im} z \in \Gamma$ and $|\operatorname{Im} z|$ is small enough.

For every measure $d\mu$ on S^{d-1} we have

$$\operatorname{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\inf}(F_\mu) \subset \{(x, \zeta); -\zeta/|\zeta| \in \operatorname{supp} d\mu \text{ and } F \notin \bigcup_j \mathcal{B}_j \text{ at } x - i\zeta/|\zeta|\}$$
(4.4)

Here $F_\mu = \int F(\cdot + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$.

The following Corollary is an analogue to Corollary 8.4.13 in Hörmander [12].

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ be closed cones in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_j = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0.$$

For every $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ there exists a decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j$, where $f_j \in \mathcal{S}'$ and

$$\operatorname{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\inf}(f_j) \subseteq \operatorname{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\inf}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times \Gamma_j). \quad (4.5)$$

If there exists another decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f'_j$ which also satisfies the conditions above, then $f'_j = f_j + \sum_{k=1}^m f_{jk}$ where $f_{jk} \in \mathcal{S}'$, $f_{jk} = -f_{kj}$ and

$$\operatorname{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\inf}(f_{jk}) \subset \operatorname{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\inf}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times (\Gamma_j \cap \Gamma_k)). \quad (4.6)$$

5. WAVE-FRONT SETS OF MODULATION SPACE TYPES

In this section we show that the results obtained for wave-front sets of Fourier BF-space types also hold for wave-front sets of modulation space types.

We start by defining general types of modulation spaces. Let (the window) $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ be fixed, and let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then the short-time Fourier transform $V_\phi f$ is the element in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, defined by the formula

$$(V_\phi f)(x, \xi) \equiv \mathcal{F}(f \cdot \overline{\phi(\cdot - x)})(\xi).$$

We usually assume that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and in this case the short-time Fourier transform $(V_\phi f)$ takes the form

$$(V_\phi f)(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(y) \overline{\phi(y - x)} e^{-\langle y, \xi \rangle} dy,$$

when $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Now let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, with respect to $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Also let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be such that

ω is v -moderate. Then the modulation space $M(\omega) = M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})} \equiv \|V_\phi f \omega\|_{\mathcal{B}} \quad (5.1)$$

(cf. [8]).

Assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. We recall that the wave-front sets of modulation space types $\text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ consists of all pairs $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that

$$|\varphi f|_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma_{\xi_0})} \equiv \|V_\phi(\varphi f) \chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}} \omega\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \infty,$$

for every open conical neighbourhood Γ_{ξ_0} of ξ_0 , and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi = 1$ in some open neighbourhood X of x_0 . Here $\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}$ is the characteristic function of Γ_{ξ_0} . It can also be showed that wave-front sets of modulation space types and wave-front sets of Fourier BF-types coincide. More precisely, let

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d) : \varphi \otimes f \in \mathcal{B}\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_0$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$, which is independent of the choice of φ . Furthermore $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{FB}_0$ are locally the same and

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}_0(\omega)}(f) = \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f).$$

(Cf. Coriasco, Johansson and Toft [1].)

By using the previous results in combination with this we obtain the following results.

Definition 5.1. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then $f \in M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ at x_0 if and only if there is some neighbourhood X of x_0 such that for some $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ in X we have that $\varphi f \in M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$.

We recognize by the arguments before that since the definition above only concerns local properties it holds that $f \in M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ at x_0 if and only if $f \in \mathcal{FB}_0(\omega)$ at x_0 , where $\mathcal{B}_0$ is given by (5.2).

We note that if f belongs to $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ at x_0 then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ for any $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$.

Definition 5.2. For $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ the singular support $\text{sing supp}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})} f$ is the smallest closed subset of X such that f is in $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ in the complement.

Theorem 5.3. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. The projection of $\text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ in X is equal to $\text{sing supp}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})} f$.

Proof. (a) Assume that $x_0 \notin \text{sing supp}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$. Then f belongs to $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ at x_0 . This implies that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$, for any $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$.

(b) Assume that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ for all $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$. Then we can choose a neighbourhood K of x_0 such that $\text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f) \cap (K \times$

$\mathbf{R}^d) = \emptyset$. This implies that we can choose a function $\varphi_{x_0} \in C_0^\infty$ which is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood X of x_0 such that $\varphi_{x_0} f \in M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$. Hence $x_0 \notin \text{sing supp}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$. $\square$

Next theorem is analogous to Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 2.7'. *Assume that f and F satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.6. Also let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then we have that*

$$(\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f) = \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } M(\omega, \mathcal{B}) \text{ at } x - i\xi\}.$$

We remark that F is in $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ at $x - i\xi$ if for some neighbourhood V of (x, ξ) there exists some localization $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi = 1$ in V such that $\varphi f \in M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be defined as before. Then it follows that

$$(\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f) = (\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}_0(\omega)}(f).$$

From the result in the previous section we also have that

$$(\mathbf{R}^d \times S^{d-1}) \cap \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}_0(\omega)}(f) = \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \mathcal{FB}_0(\omega) \text{ at } x - i\xi\}.$$

Now since the right-hand side only concern local properties and $\mathcal{FB}_0(\omega)$ and $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ are locally the same it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } \mathcal{FB}_0(\omega) \text{ at } x - i\xi\} \\ &= \{(x, \xi); |\xi| = 1, F \text{ is not in } M(\omega, \mathcal{B}) \text{ at } x - i\xi\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

By arguments given before it is obvious that Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 2.9 hold also for modulation spaces instead of Fourier BF-spaces. We therefore state the following results without proofs.

Lemma 2.8'. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Also let $d\mu$ be a measure on S^{d-1} and Γ an open convex cone such that*

$$\langle y, \xi \rangle < 0 \text{ when } 0 \neq y \in \bar{\Gamma}, \xi \in \text{supp } d\mu.$$

If F is analytic in Ω and satisfies (2.1), then

$$F_1(z) = \int F(z + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

is analytic and $|F_1(z)| \leq C'(1 + |\text{Re } z|)^a |\text{Im } z|^{-b}$ when $\text{Im } z \in \Gamma$ and $|\text{Im } z|$ is small enough.

For every measure $d\mu$ on S^{d-1} we have

$$\text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(F_\mu) \subset \{(x, \zeta); -\zeta/|\zeta| \in \text{supp } d\mu \text{ and } F \notin M(\omega, \mathcal{B}) \text{ at } x - i\zeta/|\zeta|\} \quad (5.3)$$

Here $F_\mu = \int F(\cdot + i\xi) d\mu(\xi)$.

Corollary 2.9'. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Also let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ be closed cones in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_j = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0.$$

For every $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ there exists a decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j$, where $f_j \in \mathcal{S}'$ and

$$\text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f_j) \subseteq \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times \Gamma_j). \quad (5.4)$$

If there exists another decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^m f'_j$ which also satisfies the conditions above, then $f'_j = f_j + \sum_{k=1}^m f_{jk}$ where $f_{jk} \in \mathcal{S}'$, $f_{jk} = -f_{kj}$ and

$$\text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f_{jk}) \subset \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f) \cap (\mathbf{R}^d \times (\Gamma_j \cap \Gamma_k)). \quad (5.5)$$

6. SOME ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES

In this section we prove some further properties for the wave-front sets of Fourier Banach types using results from the previous section.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$, and $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq X \times \Gamma^\circ$, where Γ° is the dual of an open convex cone Γ . If $\overline{X_1} \subseteq X$ and Γ_1 is an open convex with $\overline{\Gamma_1} \subseteq \Gamma \cup \{0\}$, then there exists a function F that is analytic in $\{x + iy; x \in X_1, y \in \Gamma_1, |y| < \gamma\}$, such that*

$$|F(x + iy)| < C|y|^{-N}, \quad y \in \Gamma_1, \quad x \in X_1,$$

and such that the limit of $F(\cdot - iy)$ in Γ_1 , when $y \rightarrow 0$, differs from f by an element in $\mathcal{FB}(X_1)$.

Proof. Set $v = \chi f$ where $\chi \in C_0^\infty$ is equal to 1 in X_1 . If $V = K * v$ is defined as in Theorem 2.7, then

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(v) = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(\chi f) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq X \times \Gamma^\circ$$

gives

$$\mathcal{C}(X \times \Gamma^\circ) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(v).$$

From this follows that

$$X_1 \times \mathcal{C} \Gamma^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{C} \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(v).$$

Then Theorem 2.7 implies that $V \in \mathcal{FB}$ at every point in $X_1 + i(S^{d-1} \cap \mathcal{C}(-\Gamma^\circ))$. Choose an open set M with $\Gamma^\circ \cap S^{d-1} \subseteq M \subseteq S^{d-1}$ and where $\overline{M}$ belongs to the interior of Γ° . Then $v = v_1 + v_2$ where

$$v_1 = \int_{-\xi \notin M} V(\cdot + i\xi) d\xi$$

belongs to $\mathcal{FB}$ in X_1 and v_2 is the boundary value of the analytic function

$$F(z) = \int_{-\xi \in M} V(z + i\xi) d\xi, \quad \text{Im } z \in \Gamma_1, \quad |\text{Im } z| < \gamma.$$

Lemma 2.8 completes the proof. $\square$

As mentioned before we have that $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq \text{WF}_A(f)$. In the following proposition we describe a relation between the wave-front sets of Fourier Banach function types and analytic wave-front sets.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space and $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then*

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) = \bigcap_{g \in \mathcal{FB}} \text{WF}_A(f - g).$$

For the proof we need the following Lemmas which are extensions of Proposition 1.5 and Lemma 1.6 in [15].

Lemma 6.3. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ be open and $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space. Then the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 f_2$ from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends uniquely to continuous mapping from $\mathcal{FB}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{FL}_{(v)}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{FB}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Proof. (1) Let $f_1 \in \mathcal{FB}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By Minkowski's inequality it follows that

$$\|f_1 f_2\|_{\mathcal{FB}} = \|\widehat{f_1} * \widehat{f_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \|\widehat{f_1}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|\widehat{f_2}\|_{L_{(v)}^1}.$$

The assertion (1) now follows from this estimate and the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is dense in $\mathcal{FL}_{(v)}^1$. $\square$

Lemma 6.4. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ be open, $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space. Also let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in X \times \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$;
- (2) *there exists $g \in \mathcal{FB}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($g \in \mathcal{FB}_{\text{loc}}(X)$) such that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}(f - g)$;*
- (3) *there exists $g \in \mathcal{FB}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($g \in \mathcal{FB}_{\text{loc}}(X)$) such that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_A(f - g)$.*

Proof. In this proof we use the same ideas as in [13, Proposition 8.2.6] (see also [15] and [16]). We may assume that $g \in \mathcal{FB}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ in (2) and (3) since the wave-front sets concern local properties. Assume that (2) holds. We can then find an open subset X_0 of X and some open cone $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ and a sequence $\varphi_N \in C_0^\infty$ such the $\varphi_N(f - g) = f - g$ on X_0 and

$$|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_N(f - g))(\xi)| \leq C_{N, \varphi_N} \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots, \xi \in \Gamma. \quad (6.1)$$

In particular it follows that if N_0 is chosen large enough, then $|\varphi_N(f - g)|_{\mathcal{FB}(\Gamma)}$ is finite for every $N > N_0$. Since $g \in \mathcal{FB}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, it follows by Lemma 6.3 that $|\varphi_N g|_{\mathcal{FB}(\Gamma)}$ is finite for every φ_N . Then $|\varphi_N f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\Gamma)}$ is finite for every $N > N_0$ and (1) holds.

Conversely, if $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$, then there exist an open neighbourhood X_0 of x_0 and an open conical neighbourhood Γ of ξ_0 such that

$$|\varphi f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\Gamma)} < \infty,$$

when $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, in view of Theorem 3.2 in [1].

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi \in C_0^\infty(X_0)$ be chosen such that $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ and $\varphi_1 = 1$ in the support of φ . Furthermore let $\hat{g} = \mathcal{F}(\varphi_1 f)$ in Γ and otherwise 0. Then $g \in \mathcal{FB}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

By [12, Lemma 8.1.1] and its proof, it follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_1(\varphi f - g))(\xi)| < C_N \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

when $\xi \in \Gamma$ and Γ is chosen sufficiently small. Since $\varphi\varphi_1 = \varphi$ we have that (6.1) holds. This implies that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}(f - g)$. This proves that (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Since $\text{WF}(f) \subseteq \text{WF}_A(f)$ for each distribution f , it follows that (2) holds if (3) is fulfilled. Assume that (2) holds. Then in view of the remark before Corollary 8.4.16 in [12] there exists some $h \in C^\infty(X)$ such that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_A(f - g - h)$. Since $C^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{FB}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ it follows that $g_1 = g + h \in \mathcal{FB}_{\text{loc}}(X)$. Hence (3) holds, and the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 6.2. We start by showing that

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq \text{WF}_A(f - g),$$

for every $g \in \mathcal{FB}$. Since $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f - g) \subseteq \text{WF}_A(f - g)$ it is sufficient to show that

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f - g), \quad (6.2)$$

for every $g \in \mathcal{FB}$.

Assume that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f - g)$. Then there exist $\varphi_{x_0} \in C_0^\infty$ with $\varphi_{x_0}(x_0) \neq 0$ and an open conical neighbourhood $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ of ξ_0 such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0}(f - g))\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty.$$

It follows by Lemma 6.3 that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0}g)\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$$

for every $g \in \mathcal{FB}$ and then

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0}f)\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0}(f - g))\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \|\mathcal{F}(\varphi_{x_0}g)\chi_{\Gamma_{\xi_0}}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty.$$

This shows that (6.2) holds. In fact, by similar calculations we can show the opposite inclusion and thereby obtain equality in (6.2). We have now shown that

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq \bigcap_{g \in \mathcal{FB}} \text{WF}_A(f - g).$$

We obtain the opposite inclusion by using Proposition 6.4. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 6.5. *If $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ where X is an interval on $\mathbf{R}$ and if $x_0 \in X$ is a boundary point of $\text{supp } f$, then $(x_0, \pm 1) \in \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$.*

Proof. Assume for example that $(x_0, -1) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$. Then we can find F analytic in $\Omega = \{z; \text{Im } z > 0, |z - x_0| < r\}$ with boundary value f . There is an interval $I \subseteq (x_0 - r, x_0 + r)$ where $f = 0$. By Theorem 3.1.12 and 4.4.1 in Hörmander [12] F can be extended analytically across I so that $F = 0$ below I . Thus the uniqueness of analytic continuation gives $F = 0$, hence $f = 0$ in $(x_0 - r, x_0 + r)$. This contradicts that x_0 is a boundary point of $\text{supp } f$ and proves the corollary. $\square$

The result in the following lemma follows directly from Lemma 8.4.17 in Hörmander.

Lemma 6.6. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ then $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \times F$ where F is the limit cone of $\widehat{\text{supp } f}$ at infinity, consisting of all limits of sequences $t_j x_j$ with $x_j \in \widehat{\text{supp } f}$ and $0 < t_j \rightarrow 0$.*

Next we give some computational rules for wave-front sets of Fourier Banach function types. We prove that some of the rules that Hörmander obtained for classical and analytical wave-front sets in [12] holds also for wave-front sets of Fourier Banach function types. For completeness we give the proofs which are similar to those of the analogous results in [12].

Theorem 6.7. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{d_2}$ be open. Also let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a real analytic map with normal set N_f . Then*

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f^*g) \subseteq f^* \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(g), \quad \text{if } g \in \mathcal{D}'(Y), \quad N_f \cap \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(g) = \emptyset \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. Assume that there exists an analytic function Φ in

$$\Omega = \{y' + iy''; y' \in Y, y'' \in \Gamma, |y''| < \gamma\},$$

where Γ is an open convex cone, such that

$$|\Phi(y' + iy'')| \leq C|y''|^{-N} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad g = \lim_{\Gamma \ni y \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\cdot + iy).$$

Let Γ° be the dual Γ . Then by the arguments in the proof of Theorem 8.5.1 in Hörmander [12] it follows that $\text{WF}_A(g) \subseteq Y \times \Gamma^\circ$. If we assume that $x_0 \in X$ and that ${}^t f'(x_0)\eta \neq 0$, $\eta \in \Gamma^\circ \setminus 0$, then ${}^t f'(x_0)\Gamma^\circ$ is a closed, convex cone and

$$\text{WF}_A(f^*g)|_{x_0} \subseteq \{(x_0, {}^t f'(x_0)\eta); \eta \in \Gamma^\circ \setminus 0\}.$$

Next by using Corollary 2.9 and Theorem 6.1 it follows that any distribution g can be written as a finite sum $\sum g_j$ where each term either belongs to $\mathcal{FB}$ in a neighbourhood of $f(x_0)$ or satisfies the hypotheses above with some Γ_j such that Γ_j° is small and intersects $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(g)|_{f(x_0)}$.

By the hypotheses ${}^t f'(x_0)\eta \neq 0$ when $(f(x_0), \eta) \in \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$. We then conclude that

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f^*g)|_{x_0} \subseteq \{(x_0, {}^t f'(x_0)\eta), \eta \in \bigcup \Gamma_j^\circ\}.$$

This implies (6.3). $\square$

Theorem 6.8. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Split the coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^d$ into two groups $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{d_1})$ and $x'' = (x_{d_1+1}, \dots, x_d)$, and set*

$$f_1(x') = \int f(x', x'') dx''$$

Then

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f_1) \subseteq \{(x', \xi'); (x', x'', \xi', 0) \in \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f) \quad \text{for some } x''\}.$$

Proof. We use the same notation as in Theorem 2.7. Then

$$\langle f, \phi \otimes \psi \rangle = \int_{|\omega|=1} \langle U(\cdot + i\omega), \phi \otimes \psi \rangle d\omega, \quad (6.4)$$

for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d-d_1})$. Take $\psi(x'') = \chi(\delta x'')$ where $\chi = 1$ in the unit ball and let $\delta \rightarrow 0$. U is decreasing exponentially at infinity and therefore it follows that

$$\langle f_1, \phi \rangle = \int_{|\omega|=1} \langle U(\cdot + i\omega), \phi \otimes 1 \rangle d\omega = \int_{|\omega|=1} \langle U_1(\cdot + i\omega'), \phi \rangle d\omega$$

where

$$U_1(z') = \int U(z', x'') dx'' = \int U(z', x'' + iy'') dx'', \quad |\text{Im } z'|^2 + |y''|^2 < 1$$

is analytic when $|\text{Im } z'| < 1$, which is bounded by $C(1 - |\text{Im } z'|)^{-N}$. If $|\omega'_0| < 1$ and $(x', x'', \omega'_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$ for every $x'' \in \mathbf{R}^{d-d_1}$, then $u_1 \in \mathcal{FB}$ at $x' - i\omega'_0$. Hence Lemma 2.8 implies that $(x', \omega'_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(f)$. $\square$

Theorem 6.9. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{d_2}$ be open sets and $K \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times Y)$ be a distribution such that the projection $\text{supp } K \rightarrow X$ is proper. If $f \in \mathcal{FB}(Y)$ then*

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(\mathcal{K}f) \subseteq \{(x, \xi); (x, y, \xi, 0) \in \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}}(K) \text{ for some } y \in \text{supp } f\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{K}$ is the linear operator with kernel K .

Proof. Replace K by $K(1 \otimes f)$ and assume that $f = 1$. Without changing K over a given compact subset of X we may replace K by a distribution of compact support, and then the statement is identical to Theorem 6.8. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Coriasco, K. Johansson, J. Toft *Wave-front sets of Banach function types*, Preprint, in arXiv:0911.1867v1, 2009
- [2] H. G. Feichtinger *Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups. Technical report*, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1983; also in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds) *Wavelets and their applications*, Allied Publishers Private Limited, NewDehli Mumbai Kolkata Chennai Hagpur Ahmedabad Bangalore Hyderabad Lucknow, 2003, pp. 99–140.
- [3] ——— *Wiener amalgams over Euclidean spaces and some of their applications*, in: *Function spaces* (Edwardsville, IL, 1990), Lect. Notes in pure and appl. math., 136, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992, pp. 123–137.
- [4] H. G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*, J. Funct. Anal., **86** (1989), 307–340.
- [5] ——— *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, II*, Monatsh. Math., **108** (1989), 129–148.
- [6] ——— *Gabor frames and time-frequency analysis of distributions*, J. Functional Anal., **146** (1997), 464–495.
- [7] ——— *Modulation spaces: Looking back and ahead*, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. **5** (2006), 109–140.
- [8] H. G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig, *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. I*, J. Funct. Anal., **86** (1989), 307–340.
- [9] K. H. Gröchenig *Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames*, Monatsh. Math., **112** (1991), 1–42.
- [10] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [11] K. Gröchenig, J. Toft *Isomorphism properties of Toeplitz operators and pseudo-differential operators between modulation spaces*, Preprint, in arXiv:0905.4954v2, 2009.
- [12] L. Hörmander *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, vol I–III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Tokyo, 1983, 1985.
- [13] ——— *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [14] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces. Part I*, preprint, in arXiv:0804.1730v3, 2009.
- [15] ——— *Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces. Part II*, preprint, in arXiv:0805.4476v2, 2008.
- [16] ——— *Wave-front sets in Fourier Lebesgue space*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino, **66** (4) (2008), 41–61.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, LINNÆUS
UNIVERSITY, VÄXJÖ, SWEDEN¹

E-mail address: karoline.johansson@lnu.se

¹Former address: Department of Mathematics and Systems Engineering, Växjö University, Sweden